

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/807,023	CHEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andrew D. Kosar	1654	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Andrew D. Kosar.

(3) _____

(2) Philip Datlow.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 25 July 2006

Time: 13:00

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

6 and 7

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Contacted Applicant's representative to obtain authorization for the examiner's amendment which replaces the typographical error 'to to' with 'to', placing the claims in condition for allowance. Applicant's representative agreed.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)